

|   |                        |                     |  |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|   | 10/798,192             | SNYDER ET AL.       |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|   | David Guzo             | 1636                |  |

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** amended

(1) David Guzo.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Nicholas Zachariades.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 February 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 None

Claims discussed:  
 11 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:  
 None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to claims 11 and 17 which would place the application in condition for allowance. The examiner faxed a copy of the amendment to applicants' representative and will await a reply.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)